Your Name	 Student No.



Section 2 Assignment: Part 5 Chemical Signatures

The local RCMP receives a tip that drugs area being sold out of a downtown bakery. They stake out the business and catch a man coming out with a large package full of white powder. The police arrest him and seize the package as evidence. The package is then sent to the lab for analysis.

- 1. At the lab, a forensic chemist runs a series of tests to determine what the white powder inside the package is. Here are the results:
 - No odour
 - No reaction to water
 - No reaction to acetic acid
 - No reaction to phenolphthalein
 - No reaction to iodine.
 - Turns blue when exposed to cobalt thiocyanate

Using the table provided, identify the mystery powder inside the package. (1 mark)

Substance	Physical Properties			Chemical Properties				
:	Colour	State	Odour	Reaction to water	Reac- tion to acetic acid	Reac- tion to phenol- phtha- lein	Reac- tion to Iodine	Reac- tion to cobalt thiocya- nate
ASA (aspirin)	white	solid powder	none	bubbles violently	none	turns pink	none	none
baking powder	white	solid powder	none	none	fizzes violently	none	none	none
cornstarch	white	solid powder	none	none	none	none	turns purple	none
Tri-sodium phosphate	white	solid powder	soapy	none	fizzes	turns pink	none	none
Cocaine	white	solid powder	none	none	none	none	none	turns blue

Type of powder:			
Type of powder.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Section 2 Assignment

Your Na	me Student No
	white powder is also found on the outside of the package. This is also tested.
Soa	py odour
No	reaction to water
• Fizz	zes in acetic acid
	ns pink in phenopthalein
• No	reaction to iodine
	ing the table provided at the beginning of this assignment, identify the mystery wder <i>outside</i> the package. (1 mark)
_	
J	Mystery powder:
of su was susp	r all the customers and employees have been interviewed, you narrow your list aspects down to four. Your job is to try to establish which of the four suspects involved in the drug operation. You decide to collect trace evidence from the sects themselves to see if you turn up any clues. When you're done, you send e samples to the forensic chemists at the lab for analysis.
Evic	lence collected from Stuart Miller, owner and baker
a.	white powder from hands: white, solid powder; no odour, does not dissolve, fizzes violently in acetic acid; does not react to either phenolphhalein or iodine.
	This powder is:
b.	white powder from apron: white; powder; no odour; no reaction to water, acetic acid or phenopthalein; turns purple with iodine.
	No. 1
,	This powder is:
	N. Tana and a second se

our Name	Student No
Evidence collected from Lucinda	a Lee, Front Till Manager
	ite; solid powder; no odour; does not dissolve; fizze s not react to either phenolpthalein or iodine.
This powder is:	
Evidence collected from Oscar M	leyers, cleaner/worker:
	e; powder; soapy odour; no reaction to urns pink in phenopthalein; no reaction
This powder is:	
Evidence collected from Sharon	Bell, Health Inspector:
	oder on her hands: white; powder; no odour; no reaction to acetic acid; turns pink in to iodine.
This powder is:	

Your Name Student No

- 4. Based on the chemical evidence you have gathered, describe why or why not each of these people is still a suspect in this case. (4 marks)
 - a. Stewart Miller

b. Lucinda Lee

c. Oscar Meyers

You	r Na	Stud	Student No		
	d.	. Sharon Bell			
-					
		•			
		•			
	e.	Based on all of the above, your most likely suspect is	s: (1 mark)		
	f.	Is this enough to convict the suspect of the crime? V (3 marks)	Why or why not?		
		•			

Evaluation Guidelines		Marks
Chemical Signatures		15
Total Marks		/15